

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/811,388	03/29/2004	Kyoichi Takahashi	HITA.0533	3076
7590 07/12/2005			EXAMINER	
Stanley P. Fisher			NGUYEN, KHANH V	
Reed Smith LL Suite 1400	.P		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
3110 Fairview			2817	
Falls Church, VA 22042-4503			DATE MAILED: 07/12/200	5

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/811,388	TAKAHASHI ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Khanh V. Nguyen	2817				
The MAILING DATE of this communica Period for Reply	tion appears on the cover sheet wi	th the correspondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICA  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 3 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communic If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) dec. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statute Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATION.  7 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a recation.  ays, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirt by period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON by statute, cause the application to become AB	eply be timely filed  y (30) days will be considered timely.  THS from the mailing date of this communication.  ANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed of	on <u>29 March 2004</u> .					
2a) This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)	☑ This action is non-final.					
•	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims						
4) ⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-13</u> is/are pending in the app 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are v 5) ⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-10</u> is/are allowed. 6) ⊠ Claim(s) <u>11-13</u> is/are rejected. 7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction	withdrawn from consideration.					
Application Papers	•					
9)⊠ The specification is objected to by the E	xaminer.					
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a	))☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.					
Applicant may not request that any objectio	n to the drawing(s) be held in abeyan	ce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).				
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by	•					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) △ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for a) △ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:  1. △ Certified copies of the priority does not be copied to be copi	cuments have been received cuments have been received in A the priority documents have been I Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	pplication No received in this National Stage				
Attachment(s)  1) ☑ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) ☑ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-3) ☑ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO-Paper No(s)/Mail Date 3/29/04.	-948) Paper No(s	iummary (PTO-413) i)/Mail Date nformal Patent Application (PTO-152) 				

## **DETAILED ACTION**

# Specification

The abstract of the disclosure is objected to because it appears to be too long and might exceed 150 words in length. Correction is required. See MPEP § 608.01(b).

The lengthy specification has not been checked to the extent necessary to determine the presence of all possible minor errors. Applicant's cooperation is requested in correcting any errors of which applicant may become aware in the specification.

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claim 11 recites the limitation "<u>the</u> electronic component" in line 2. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.

Claim 11 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Specification discloses a "baseband circuit (300) as a semiconductor integrated circuit", see page 36, but it is unclear how "a semiconductor integrated circuit (baseband circuit (300)) for **control which generates and supplies** a control signal to each of said electronic components". Does applicant mean microprocessor (CPU/500) instead?

Application/Control Number: 10/811,388

Art Unit: 2817

Note, Figure 11 shows CPU generates a plurality of control signals to baseband (300), RF IC (110), output power control circuit (230), and change-over switches (420).

Phrases/functions "for control which generates and supplies ..." and "for control to said electronic component for high frequency power amplifier" in the last two limitations of claim 11 appear to be unclear and need to be reworded. And likewise for claim 13 which also discloses phrase "for control to said ..." in lines 4 and 8.

### Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 1-10 are allowed.

Claims 11-13 would be allowable if rewritten or amended to overcome the rejection(s) under 35 U.S.C. 112, 2nd paragraph, set forth in this Office action.

Claims 1-13 call for, among others, a schematic of an error amplification circuit including a differential amplification circuit, a first resistance, a second resistance, and a capacitor having the connections thereof.

#### Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. The additional references (Ueno et al. (6,172.567); Matsushita et al. (6,605,999); Matsunaga et al. (6,759,906)) show further analogous prior art circuitry having voltage control.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Khanh V. Nguyen whose telephone number is (571) 272-1767. The examiner can normally be reached from 8:00 AM - 3:30 PM EST.

Art Unit: 2817

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Robert Pascal can be reached on (571) 272-1769. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703) 872-9306 for regular communications and (703) 872-9306 for After Final communications.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

KHANH VAN NGUYEN PRIMARY EXAMINER

Art Unit: 2817